

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED  
USDC, CLERK, CHARLESTON, SC

2010 JUN 21 P 4: 25

Rondell Carter,

Plaintiff,

v.

Chief Michael A. Schwartz;  
Sheriff Lane Cribb; Asst. Sheriff  
Carter Weaver; and Sharon W.  
Morton, Major,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:10-585-SB

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's pro se complaint, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.


On May 25, 2010, United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of his right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within fourteen days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriner's Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of any portion of the R&R.

Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of this Court, and it is

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.  
Senior United States District Judge

June 21, 2010  
Charleston, South Carolina